Application of crispr cas9 pdf

Continue

```
CRISPR-Cas9 system: A new-fangled dawn in gene editing. Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta R, Sinharoy S, Acharya K, Chattopadhyay D, Ravichandiran V, Roy S, Ghosh D. Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta R, Sinharoy S, Acharya K, Chattopadhyay D, Ravichandiran V, Roy S, Ghosh D. Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta R, Sinharoy S, Acharya K, Chattopadhyay D, Ravichandiran V, Roy S, Ghosh D. Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta R, Sinharoy S, Acharya K, Chattopadhyay D, Ravichandiran V, Roy S, Ghosh D. Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta A, Kazi TA, Gupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Sen MK, Dey D, Dasgupta D, Bhattacharjee O, Mandal D, Bhatta
Review. Genome engineering is a powerful tool for a wide range of applications in biomedical research and medicine. The development of the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 system has revolutionized the field of gene editing, thus facilitating efficient genome editing through the creation of targeted double-
strand breaks of almost any organism and cell type. In addition, CRISPR-Cas9 technology has been used successfully for many other purposes, including regulation of endogenous gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of single-stranded RNA and high-throughput gene expression of the local labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of the local labelling of chromosomal loci, edition of the local labelling o
CRISPR-Cas9 system has increased the number of available technological alternatives for studying gene function, thus enabling generation of CRISPR-based disease models. Although many mechanistic questions remain to be answered and several challenges have yet to be addressed, the use of CRISPR-Cas9—based genome engineering technologies
will increase our knowledge of disease processes and their treatment in the near future. Genome engineering is a powerful tool for a wide range of applications in biomedical research and medicine. It enables the study of gene function and gene regulation through the generation of in vitro (cellular) or in vivo (animal) disease models and could play a
key role in the development of gene therapy for genetic disorders. Because the pioneering technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes has advanced from a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes have a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific gene modifications [1], the generation of targeted genomic changes have a limited technology of Capecchi made it possible to introduce specific generation of the possible to the possible to introduce specific generation of the possible to the possible to the possible t
method adopted by researchers across a wide range of scientific disciplines. For many years, genome engineering based on homologous recombination (phage-based homologous recombination) [2–5] simplified the
generation of target vectors while simultaneously making it possible to engineer large fragments of DNA. Our ability to make specific changes in the genome modifications [6, 7]. In the mid-1990s, two groups demonstrated that induction of
DSBs using the rare cutting Saccharomyces cerevisiae restriction endonuclease I-SceI increases the probability of obtaining targeted homologous recombination). This finding was followed by two independent studies in the late 1990s, which demonstrated that a specific
zinc finger (ZF) domain could be fused to a nonspecific cleavage domain (FokI) [8] and that ZF proteins could be engineered as building blocks by modification of recognition sequence domains using either a 9 bp or 18 bp target sequence in a specific and predetermined manner [9]. Both studies paved the way for the use of ZF domains as a nuclease
system [10]. Zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) are artificial endonucleases consisting of a FokI cleavage domain fused to an engineered ZF DNA-binding proteins secreted by Xanthomonas bacteria were discovered [12, 13]. The main
advantage of this new, alternative system for induction of DSBs was that in contrast to ZFNs, which are composed of arrays of 3-6 ZF modules joined together to create a DNA-binding domain with specificity to 9-18 bp per ZF monomer, the TALE DNA-binding motifs typically consist of a tandem repeat of 34 amino acids. Each repeat recognizes and
binds to a single base. This specificity is based on two variable amino acids known as the repeat variable diresidue. The discovery of the DNA recognition code facilitated the prediction and assembly of TALE DNA-binding domains and led this method to be more widely used by researchers than the ZF counterpart [14]. The next breakthrough in
genome engineering was quick to appear. In 2012, a natural bacterial immune system was harnessed to modify DNA in a programmable manner [15], leading to the development of the CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats).
part of basic research, with the first description of repeat sequences present in bacteria [16] that were later shown to constitute an adaptive immune system [17] against viral infections and invading DNA [18]. Two separate studies paved the way for the CRISPR application. The first showed Cas9 to be a ribonucleoprotein that, in combination with
crRNA (CRISPR targeting RNA), mediates DNA cleavage [19]. The second (Doudna and Charpentier) showed that the three components considered essential for CRISPR-Cas9 function (Cas9 endonuclease, crRNA) to form a single guide RNA (sgRNA),
thus widening the range of application for genome engineering [15]. CRISPR-Cas9 was consolidated as an editing tool in 2013, when several groups reported edition of CRISPR-Cas9 as a genome engineering tool [22–24]. One of the
most important advantages of the CRISPR-Cas9 system is that it does not rely on protein engineering (as occurred with ZFNs, meganucleases and TALE nucleases [TALENs]), but on Watson-Crick base-pairing between the sgRNA and the target DNA to be recognized [25]. In addition, CRISPR-Cas9 is easy to use, because only a small, new 20 nt
fragment of RNA must be synthesized to target a new locus, thus avoiding the laborious and time-consuming design and cloning of full protein domains, as in ZFN- and TALEN-based approaches [14]. Synthesis of small fragments also enables multiplex application, thus making it possible to target several loci [26] and potentially generate chromosomal
rearrangements such as deletions and translocations [27, 28]. CRISPR-Cas9 can also be used in high-throughput approaches [29]. Comparison of systems used to interrogate gene function: benefits of CRISPR-cas9 The gold standard for defining gene function is to disrupt its expression and analyse the consequences. Various approaches can be
applied. For over a decade, RNAi (interference RNA) was the best available tool [30, 31] and was widely used by the research community. RNAi is an easy, rapid, inexpensive and high-throughput approach based on application of double-stranded RNAs to silence a gene. However, it does not always produce a complete loss of function, varies between
experiments and laboratories and has unpredictable off-target effects; in addition, inhibition is temporary, thus limiting its application in clinical practice [32, 33]. The development of ZFNs and TALENs enabled complete loss of function that perfectly mimicked a genetic mutation, thus enabling more refined control of gene expression beyond simple
knockdown [34]. Although customized nucleases provided a generalized method for generation of targeted changes in the genome, they were limited by the complexity of CRISPR-Cas9 has made it the most widely used tool for genome
targeting experiments. Furthermore, regular development of new CRISPR applications increases the utility of the platform. Using customized nuclease technologies as genome engineering tools enables a broad range of genetic modifications. ZFNs, TALENs and the CRISPR-Cas9 system operate in a similar manner and enable targeted genetic
modifications by inducing targeted DSBs in the genome. DSBs are repaired by two highly conserved DNA mechanisms, namely, homology-directed repair (HDR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (Figure 1A) [35–37]. The HDR pathway is based on the use of a donor DNA template that is recombined at the DSB site, resulting in accurate repair
HDR can be used to introduce specific sequences or mutations into a target region of the genome. On the other hand, the more prevalent NHEJ pathway is an error-prone system that is associated with the generation of insertions and deletions (indels) during the repair process and can therefore be used to induce specific gene knockout through
generation of frameshift mutations. This alternative approach makes it possible to rule out positional effects associated with many types of genetic analysis and enables gene function analysis in the complex, native chromosomal environment. Open in new tabDownload slide Principal applications of the CRISPR-Cas9 system. (A) DNA double-strand
breaks (DSB) produced by CRISPR-Cas9 can follow two different pathway, namely, the error-prone NHEJ repair pathway, which can be used to introduce specific genome alterations. (B) An alternative use of this system relies on dead Cas9 (dCas9), an inactivated protein that can be fused to functional effectors or
domains to mediate fluorescent labelling of DNA loci, transcriptional control and epigenome modification. (C) Pooled screening can be carried out using genome-scale guide RNA; NHEJ: non-homologous end joining; HDR: homologous-directed repair;
indel: insertion and/or deletion. CRISPR-Cas9: not just a genome editing tool In addition to enabling efficient genome editing through the creation of targeted DSBs, CRISPR-Cas9: not just a genome editing through the creation of endogenous
gene expression. The use of CRISPR technology for efficient and scalable disruption of gene expression is a powerful tool for studying gene function, developmental pathways and disease mechanisms. A catalytically inactive version of Cas9 (deadCas9 or dCas9) can be recruited by sgRNAs to specific target gene promoters; if dCas9 is fused to a
repressor (CRISPRi) or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activation. The CRISPRi alternative was initially shown to produce consistent and robust knockdown of gene expression leading to reduced target RNA in both human and yeast cells [38]. More recently, this approach was adapted to repress gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (CRISPRa) (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activator domain (e.g. KRAB or VP64), it can trigger gene silencing or activat
expression in a wide variety of organisms and cell types including bacteria, plants and human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [39-41]. In line with these approaches, it has been shown that CRISPRa can activate transcription of endogenous genes efficiently, although this activation is mild [42-48]. The various modifications of this system that
have been developed to strengthen activation of the VP64 activator domain to both ends of dCas9, fusion of 10 copies of the minimal VP16 transcriptional activation domain to dCas9 and a synergistic action clustering 3-4 sgRNAs to the promoter [44, 46]. CRISPRa also enables robust multiplexed endogenous gene
activation when sgRNAs targeting multiple genes are simultaneously introduced into cells [44]. Almost every cell in an organism has the same DNA sequence. Nevertheless, cells have the potential to different types that form the organism. This exceptional achievement results from fine regulation of the expression of genes
and access to chromatin. The epigenome is a record of chemical changes in DNA and histones including DNA methylation, histone post-translational modifications and non-coding RNAs. Genome reprogramming and epigenome editing are branches of genome editing aimed at targeted alteration of chromatin marks and gene expression without
modifying the genome sequence. To edit the epigenome with high spatial and temporal specificity, dCas9 has been fused to functional domains of DNA methylation or demethylation enzymes or histone modifiers that can easily target specific DNA loci [49–52]. Epigenome editing is a novel approach that enables durable gene regulation, with promising
applications in basic research and molecular medicine. CRISPR has also been applied in live-cell labelling of chromosomal loci, thus facilitating visualization of chromosomal dynamics and increasing our understanding of many fundamental intra-nuclear processes. Inactive dCas9 has been fused to fluorescent proteins to achieve in vivo labelling of a
specific locus [53, 54]. It is even possible to engineer multicolour versions of CRISPR using catalytically inactive Cas9 endonuclease (dCas9) from different bacterial orthologs [55] and an extended palette of fluorescent probes to efficiently label various target loci. In vitro assays have demonstrated that Cas9 protein has the ability to edit single-
stranded RNA (ssRNA) [56]. Doudna et al. revealed high-affinity binding of Cas9 to ssRNA sequences when the protospacer adjacent motif is presented in trans as a separate DNA oligonucleotide. Multiplex application of the CRISPR-Cas9 system is well established. In addition, high-throughput synthesis of sgRNAs
facilitates the creation of sgRNA libraries. This approach enables in vitro and in vivo gene function screening approaches and thus provides an accessible platform for interrogation of genes involved in disease processes [57]. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated generation of sgRNA libraries. This approach enables in vitro and in vivo gene function screening approaches and thus provides an accessible platform for interrogation of genes involved in disease processes [57].
the rapid generation of alternative in vivo and in vitro disease models. The new alternatives include the following: (i) Genome editing in single-cell embryos via direct injection of sgRNAs and Cas9 mRNA. This approach has been used successfully to generate mouse [58], rat [59] and monkey models [60], thus revealing the full potential of the CRISPR
Cas9 system for efficient and quick creation of genetically modified animals in which one or several genes have been simultaneously altered. (ii) In vivo gene editing, which involves direct delivery of the CRISPR-Cas9 system to specific cells in their native tissues, thus bypassing the need for germline-modified mutant strains. This alternative can be
applied to existing disease models and transgenic strains and has promising applicability in gene therapy strategies. In vivo edition has been achieved through the use of viral vectors, mainly adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), with defined tissue-specific tropism [61, 62]. (iii) Combination of gene editing with human iPSCs, which enables the generation
of models of genetically complex disorders. Using this approach, it is possible to study human genome alterations in various genetic backgrounds. iPSCs from patients can be differentiated in culture to identify disease-affected mutations in
iPSCs from individuals with disease, thus elucidating the effect of such mutations and providing proof-of-principle for gene therapy. Biomedical modelling CRISPR-based disease models have been generated for cancer [63], neurological diseases [64] and other Mendelian or complex genetic human diseases to investigate the molecular mechanisms
underlying pathogenesis (Table 1). The models are also excellent platforms for testing gene therapy or for high-throughput screening of new drugs. Table 1. Overview of the CRISPR approach. Reference . Cancer KIBRA and KIBRA knockout in MDA-MB-231 cell line
model Mavuluri et al., 2016 Cancer PyCR1 knockout in an invivo invasive breast carcinoma model Loayza-Puch et al., 2016 Cancer PyCR1 knockout model to reprogram primary human T cells Su et
al., 2016 Cancer NoxO1 knockout in an in vitro human colon cancer cell model Hyakusoku et al., 2016 Cancer Systematic TP53 gene knockout in an in vitro HCT116 colorectal and H460 lung cancer cell model Wanzel et al., 2016 Cancer MET exon
14 deletion in an in vitro HEK293 cell line model Togashi et al., 2015 Cancer Somatic multiplex-mutagenesis applied for high-throughput analysis of gene function in mice Weber et al., 2015 Cancer Lkb1 knockout in an in vivo pancreas model Chiou et al.,
2015 Cancer High-throughput loss-of-function screening in non-small-cell lung tumour growth and lung metastasis Chen et al., 2015 Cancer Reproduction of the t(2;13)(q36.1;q14.1) translocation of human alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma in mouse myoblast cells Lagutina et al., 2015 Cancer Correction of a loss-of-function PKCβ mutation in a patient-
derived colon cancer cell line Antal et al., 2015 Cancer Knockout of a panel of tumour suppressor genes in a Kras(G12D)-driven lung cancer model Sánchez-Rivera et al., 2014 Cancer Induction of the inv(2)(p21p23) chromosomal inversion in the NIH/3T3 cell line and in an in vivo lung model Maddalo et al., 2014 Cancer Reproduction of the t(11;22)
and t(8;21) translocations in human HEK293, mesenchymal and haematopoietic cells Torres et al., 2014 Cancer Pten and progenitor cells Chen et al., 2014 Neurological Tenm1
knockout mouse model Alkelai et al., 2016 Neurological Fluorescent labelling of the GABAergic subtype in iPSCs-derived neurons Liu et al., 2016 Neurological Dmd exon 23 deletion in the tibialis anterior muscles in a mouse model of Duchenne muscular
dystrophy Tabebordbar et al., 2016 Neurological Dmd exon 23 deletion in an mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy by intraperitoneal, intramuscular or retro-orbital AAV9 delivery Long et al., 2016 Neurological DMD exons
45-55 deletion in human iPSCs hiPSC Young et al., 2016 Cadiovascular Generation of cardiac-specific Cas9 transgenic mice and Myh6 knockout by AAV delivery in an in vivo mouse liver model Ding et al., 2014 Infectious Adaptation of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to
human cells for intracellular defence against foreign DNA and viruses using HIV-1 infection as a model Liao et al., 2015 Immunodeficiency Multiple targeting of mouse B2m, Il2rg, Prf1, Prkdc
and Rag1 genes to generate immunodeficient mouse models Zhou et al., 2013 CRISPR-based disease models include the following: Cancer models: Numerous excellent studies have been carried out with the aim of generating accurate and specific in vivo and in vitro cancer models [63]. For example, Maresch et al. [65] recently reported the results of
their study on the generation of pancreatic cancer by simultaneous edition of multiple gene network sets using transfection-based multiplex delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 components to the pancreatic cancer by simultaneous edition of multiple gene network sets using transfection-based multiplex delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 components to the pancreatic cancer. In 2014, Sanchez-Rivera et
al. [66] described an approach for functional characterization of candidate genes in mouse models of cancer, resulting in the generation of
lung adenocarcinomas in mice. Neurological disease models: Several high-quality studies describe the generation of neurological models. Two excellent examples include the study by Liu et al. [68]. Liu et al. [68]. Liu et al. [68]. Liu et al. [68] and that by Tabebordbar et al. [68]
mechanism of epilepsy caused by SCN1A loss-of-function mutations. Their model was based on a knock-in strategy to fluorescently label and distinguish GABAergic subtype neurons derived from edited iPSCs and the iP
glutamatergic neurons in this differentiated neuronal system. Tabebordbar et al. developed and tested a CRISPR in vivo approach to directly edit the genome of mouse muscle and muscle stem cells harbouring frame-disrupting mutations in the Dmd (Duchenne muscular dystrophy) gene. The delivery by AAVs of Cas9 coupled with sgRNAs targeting
both ends of exon23 induces the deletion of the exon in the mutated Dmd gene, thus producing a truncated but functional deficiencies. Cardiovascular disease models: Carroll et al. [69] recently reported the generation of a transgenic cardiac-specific Cas9 mouse. Cas9 mice robustly express
high levels of Cas9 exclusively in heart cardiomyocytes after injection of a Cas9 expression plasmid regulated by Myh6 promoter into mouse zygotes. As a proof-of-concept experiment, the authors used AAVs to deliver sgRNAs against Myh6 and demonstrated cardiac-specific genome editing at the Myh6 locus. In 2014, Ding et al. [70] introduced
targeted loss-of-function mutations into the endogenous Pcsk9 gene using adenovirus-delivered Cas9 and sgRNAs targeting Pcsk9 in mouse liver. The authors reported a high rate of mutagenesis (around 50%) and analysed their related pathophysiological effects. A reduction in blood cholesterol levels was observed in the mice. Infectious disease
models: Expression of CRISPR-Cas9 components through transfection of cells transduced with a GFP reporter lentivirus led to targeted disruption of both pre-integration viral genomes and integrated proviruses [71]. CRISPR has also been used to specifically target HIV in latently infected T-cell lines and in the cellular reservoirs of HIV
(monocytes/macrophages), thus providing long-term resistance to HIV-1. Ramanan et al. [72] demonstrated that the CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering tool can target and cleave conserved regions in the chronic hepatitis B virus genome, resulting in strong suppression of viral gene expression and replication. Immunodeficiency models: In 2014,
Huang and coworkers [73] showed how the use of multiples CRISPR can generate several types of immunodeficient mouse strains by microinjection of embryos with Cas9 mRNA and multiple sgRNAs targeting B2m, Il2rg, Prf1, Prkdc and Rag1 mouse genes. CRISPR-associated concerns Although the simplicity and accessibility of CRISPR-Cas9 are key
advantages, some important concerns have yet to be addressed. Delivery Delivery of the programmable nuclease is a key problem in genome engineering. The choice of vehicle for the CRISPR system depends on the purpose of the experiment and can vary from viral to non-viral methods. Vehicles include DNA, mRNA and even ribonucleoprotein
complexes (RNP). Viral vectors are promising vehicles for delivery of CRISPR components for two main reasons: (i) their defined tropism can be retargeted through almost any tissue or cell type; and (ii) they can be administered locally or systemically depending on individual requirements. Therefore, they are considered a flexible tool with wide
applicability [74]. Available vectors for delivery of enzymes and nucleases include adenoviruses [75], baculoviruses [76], integrative and non-integrative lentiviruses [77–79]. Currently, the most attractive gene delivery vectors are AAVs, which are non-pathogenic human viruses that afford long-term transgene expression without genomic integration
[80]. Given the nature of their DNA, they can serve as a vehicle for Cas9 and also for template DNA to repair target cells [81, 82]. However, their major drawback is that the size of the cargo they can transport is limited (only 4.7 kb, including some structural and regulatory elements), thus restricting their capacity for Cas9 or the guide sequences in
the vector [83]. To resolve this issue and avoid the use of two separate vectors, smaller Cas9 orthologs, such as those derived from Staphylococcus aureus, have been developed. These orthologs are easier to pack, making them 'editor's choice' for in vivo genome editing [84]. Non-viral delivery systems can carry plasmid DNA, mRNA and RNPs and are
a suitable alternative for cell culture owing to their high expression levels, reproducibility and speed. Of note, the transient nature of these systems provides an advantage over integrative counterparts (such as lentiviruses), which have pronounced off-target effects [85]. Non-viral delivery systems can be applied through nucleofection, electroporation
and transfection with liposomal agents. Electroporation is the most widely used option, mainly because it can be applied in almost every cell type and even in ex vivo genome modification in clinical practice [86]. Despite the wide applicability of this approach, its efficacy depends on the target cells. This is a major consideration for ex vivo genome modification in clinical practice [86].
delivery settings. Furthermore, applicability is not optimal for in vivo settings [87]. Hydrodynamic injection was recently proposed as an alternative to non-viral delivery and has proven useful for genome editing in the mouse liver [88]. However, it has been associated with disruption of cardiovascular function and major liver damage, thus generating
concern over its use in large animals and its restriction to the liver [89]. Off-target effects and specificity The major concern surrounding the CRISPR-Cas9 system since its development has been the high rate of off-target effects and specific to different cell
types and highly dependent on correct functionality of cell DSB repair machinery [91]. In fact, the incidence of off-target mutations has been demonstrated to be low in human pluripotent stem cells with functional DSB repair machinery [92, 93] and high in human cell lines in which the DSB pathway has been altered [94]. Despite these initially
contradictory results, several alternatives have been developed to overcome off-target effects, as follows: Alteration of guide sequences, by shortening sgRNA (truncated guide sequence [96] and even optimizing sgRNA structure [97]. All of these methods have demonstrated guide sequences, by shortening sgRNA (truncated guide sequences, by shortening sgRNA (truncated guide sequences).
improved target specificity and reduced undesired mutagenesis at non-target sites. Nickases, i.e. mutant Cas9 versions with one catalytic domain altered (D10A or H840A) that cleave only one strand and have to be used in pairs. This strategy almost abolished off-target activity without reducing on-target cleavage [98]. Regulation of the amount of
CRISPR components. To paraphrase Paracelsus, only the dose makes the poison. Similarly, some of the off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas9 have been attributed to the high quantity or concentrations used [94, 99, 100]. This issue could be addressed by means of an appropriate optimization procedure in the use of correct amounts of the CRISPR
components in specific experimental settings [101]. Fusions. To improve specificity in DNA cleavage, dCas9 has been fused with those reached with paired nickases [102, 103]. Moreover, two different units have been fused where
truncated guides were used in conjunction with dCa9-FokI fusions, thus providing a highly specific alternative for genome engineering in human cell lines [104]. Regulation of the time that the components (Cas9 and guide sequences) are available in the target cell. This indirect approach comprises several options, including inducible vectors [46, 105],
split Cas9 [106] and even synchronization of the cell cycle phase [107]. However, one of the more important advantages is the use of Cas9 RNP instead of plasmid DNA or viral vectors: this improvement was shown to be useful not only for human and mouse cells [108–110], but also for cells that had previously been refractory to genome modification
[111]. Cas9 RNP has a rapid mechanism of action (owing to the presence of the preassembled complexes) and is degraded rapidly, thus reducing the rate of off-target effects. Furthermore, delivery has proven to be less stressful for human embryonic stem cells [109]. Concluding remarks CRISPR-Cas9 has revolutionized biomedical science by enabling
genome modifications at single-nucleotide resolution in almost any cell type and organism. The speed with which CRISPR is advancing reflects its utility, simplicity and efficiency. The widespread use of subsequent applications based on CRISPR have made it a multifunctional platform whose applicability goes beyond single gene edition to perform
multiplexed edition, sequence-specific regulation of gene expression and genome-wide screens of other platforms. These new methodological developments have considerably increased the technological alternatives for studying gene function and for modelling in several organisms and diseases. Furthermore, the combination of CRISPR-based genome
engineering and genome-wide association studies could play a key role in the development of CRISPR technology, many mechanistic questions remain unanswered, and several challenges have yet to be addressed. First, existing delivery methods must be optimized and new approaches
created for delivery of CRISPR elements to the target cell to achieve sufficiency must be coupled with specificity, and new methods for controlling targeted edition-avoiding off-target effects need to be investigated. In summary, considerable research is necessary before CRISPR can be comprehensively applied in
basic and biomedical research and therapy. Nevertheless, we believe that CRISPR-Cas9-based genome engineering technologies will help us to better understand disease processes and their treatment in the near future. CRISPR-Cas9 is a powerful genome engineering tool based on the targeted induction of double-strand breaks in almost any
organism and cell type.CRISPR-Cas9 system has many applications other than gene editing, live-cell labelling, edition of RNA and high-throughput gene expression, epigenome editing, live-cell labelling, edition of endogenous gene expression, epigenome editing.
models that recapitulate disease features. Raul Torres-Ruiz obtained his PhD in Molecular Biology from the Autonoma University in Madrid. He is currently working as a postdoctoral research is focused on the implementation of genome engineering
tools and the generation of cancer models. Sandra Rodriguez-Perales received her PhD in Genetics from the Complutense University in Madrid. She is currently working as research scientist at the Department of Human Cancer Genetics, Spanish National Cancer Centre (CNIO). Her research is focused on deciphering chromosomal translocation
mechanisms to understand their role in the development of childhood sarcoma. Acknowledgments R.T.-R. and S.R.-P. designed, coordinated, and collected the data for the review. S.R.-P. and R.T.-R. wrote the manuscript. Funding This study was supported by FIS project no. PI14/01884, which was awarded to Sandra Rodriguez-Perales by the Spanish
National Research and Development Plan, Instituto de Salud Carlos III with cofunding from FEDER. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. References 7, et al. . Induction of homologous recombination in mammalian chromosomes by using the I-SceI system of
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. ;:-.9, et al. . Toward controlling gene expression at will: specific regulation of the erbB-2/HER-2 promoter by using polydactyl zinc finger proteins constructed from modular building blocks. ;:-.16, et al. . Nucleotide sequence of the iap gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion in Escherichia coli, and
identification of the gene product. ::-.1719, et al. . Cas9-crRNA ribonucleoprotein complex mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in bacteria. ::-.40, et al. . CAS9 transcriptional activators for target specificity screening
and paired nickases for cooperative genome engineering. ;:-.67, et al. . CRISPR/Cas9 facilitates investigation of neural circuit disease using human iPSCs: mechanism of epilepsy caused by an SCN1A loss-of-function mutation. ;:73, et al. . One-step generation of different immunodeficient mice with multiple gene modifications by CRISPR/Cas9
mediated genome engineering.; -.75, et al. . Adenoviral vector delivery of RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas9 nuclease complexes induces targeted mutagenesis in a diverse array of human cells.; .76, et al. . Baculoviral transduction facilitates TALEN-mediated targeted transgene integration and Cre/LoxP cassette exchange in human-induced pluripotent
stem cells. ::.78, et al. . Gene editing in human stem cells using zinc finger nucleases and integrate nucleases and integrate mutations in individual
CRISPR-Cas9 and TALEN targeted human stem cell clones detected by whole-genome sequencing. ;:-.
```

